Application Serial No.: 10/648,944

Amendment and Response/Election dated August 28, 2006

In reply to Office Action mailed August I, 2006

CM05520J

<u>REMARKS</u>

The claims have been amended by rewriting claim 2. Claims 1-25 remain in the

application.

Claims Objections

Claim 2 was objected to due for lack of antecedent basis. Applicants apologize for

this error and have amended claim 2 to depend on claim 1.

Election Requirement

Applicants have elected Species 1 without traverse and without prejudice or

disclaimer in order to facilitate prosecution of the application. The single disclosed species

will be used for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic

claim is finally held to be allowable. Claims 1, 2-5, 15, 16 and 21-25 being readable on

Species 1, illustrated in figures 1 and 6. Claim 1 is generic to claims 1-20 and claim 22 is

generic to claims 22-24.

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

August 28, 2006

Motorola, Inc.

Customer No. 24273

By: /Barbara R. Doutre/ Barbara R. Doutre

Attorney of Record

Reg. No.: 39,505

Telephone: 954-723-6449

954-723-3871 Fax No.:

Email: ocketing.florida@motorola.com

10